PORT COMMISSION BYLAWS REVISION PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

For consideration on First Reading, December 5, 2017

AMENDMENT 1 – proposed by Commissioner Albro

(Revises text in sections 1 and 3 of the Object statement.)

In Article 1, Section 1, delete "The Port of Seattle was chartered by the voters of King County as a port district by special election on September 5, 1911. The Port of Seattle is a special-purpose corporation of the State of Washington that is governed by the Port of Seattle Commission. The Port of Seattle Commission is authorized to perform its responsibilities under Title 53 RCW and is charged with the responsibility to fulfill particular legislatively mandated purposes and objectives."

And insert in lieu thereof the following: <u>The Port of Seattle was chartered by the voters of King County as a port district by special election on September 5, 1911, as a special-purpose municipal corporation of the State of Washington. The Port of Seattle Commission is vested with all port powers and governs the Port of Seattle in accordance with Title 53 RCW to fulfill particular legislatively mandated purposes and objectives.</u>

And in Article 1, Section 3, delete "Commissioners shall safeguard the mission of the Port of Seattle as a public agency whose primary mission shall be to invest public resources to advance trade and commerce, promote industrial growth, preserve limited maritime and aviation resources of unique value for port uses, stimulate economic development, and create jobs. This mission depends upon the transportation of people and goods by air, water, and land, commitment to environmental stewardship, and collaboration with neighboring communities. It ensures economic vitality and a sustainable quality of life for all of the people of King County and the Puget Sound region."

And insert in lieu thereof the following: <u>Commissioners shall serve the public and uphold the mission of the Port of Seattle as a public agency to create jobs by advancing trade and commerce, promoting industrial growth, and stimulating economic development.</u>

35	AMENDMENT 2 – proposed by Commissioner Albro
36	
37	(Revises the text in Article 2, Section 2, Collegiality.)
38	
39	In Article 2, Section 2, before "governs the Port of Seattle" insert: exercises port powers and
40	
41	(The amendment in context:)
42	
43	Collegiality. The commission exercises port powers and governs the Port of
44	Seattle only when a quorum of its membership is assembled in a properly
45	noticed public meeting and action is taken by the required vote.

46 47 48

(Creates an option to place actions on the consent calendar if the authorization amounts are between \$300,000 and \$1 million and construction contract extensions are between 60 and 120 days and allows them to be offered in a single action request.)

50 51 52

53 54

55

56

49

In Article 4, Section 5(g), after "do not require a public hearing or amendment" insert the following: The consent calendar may include any action for which the requested dollar amount of the authorization lies between the value threshold delegated to the executive director (generally \$300,000) and \$1,000,000 or for which construction contract time extensions are requested between 60 days and 120 days and staff may submit an agenda memorandum for multiple actions of this kind with a brief description of each action.

57 58 59

(The amendment in context:)

60 61

62 63

64

65 66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73 74

75

76

77 78

79

80

Unanimous consent calendar, Items on the consent calendar shall include routine matters and actions considered by the president to have general consensus of all commissioners, including approval of the minutes of prior meetings available for commission approval. Resolutions may be included on the consent calendar for final adoption if they are routine and considered by the president to have general consensus of all commissioners, have been introduced on a prior day, and do not require a public hearing or amendment. The consent calendar may include any action for which the requested dollar amount of the authorization lies between the value threshold delegated to the executive director (generally \$300,000) and \$1,000,000 or for which construction contract time extensions are requested between 60 days and 120 days and staff may submit an agenda memorandum for multiple actions of this kind with a brief description of each action. Items on the consent calendar shall not be subject to discussion or debate and shall be decided by a single vote. Any commissioner present at the time of consideration of approval of the agenda may request removal of an item from the unanimous consent calendar for separate consideration and vote. Items removed from the consent calendar for separate consideration and vote shall become special orders for the day and shall be taken up following those items previously scheduled for consideration as special orders.

AMENDMENT 4 – proposed by commission staff

(Articulates a procedure for handling technical aspects of 24-hour meeting notice when waiver of written notice in invoked under RCW 42.30.080.)

In Article 5, Section 6, insert the following new subsection (c):

When special meetings are called with less than 24 hours' notice pursuant to notice waiver under this section, written notice shall be posted as far ahead of convening such a special meeting as practicable through the usual methods required by law and these bylaws. If a special meeting is called with less than 24 hours' notice, other than to deal with an emergency involving injury or damage to persons or property as described in RCW 42.30.080, and any commissioner has not filed a written waiver of notice as prescribed in this section, and such commissioner is not present when the special meeting convenes, the special meeting shall immediately adjourn to a time that is not less than 24 hours later than the actual time of posting the written notice for such a special meeting. The procedures for adjourning a special meeting shall apply under these circumstances as described by law and these bylaws.

AMENDMENT 5 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

97 98 99

(Restores the requirement to record executive sessions. This feature was not carried forward into the proposed revised text. Annual monitoring by outside counsel is not proposed at this time.)

101102

100

- In Article 4, Section 8, insert a new subsection (b) as follows and renumber the subsequent subsections:
- 105 Recording of executive sessions. Executive sessions shall be recorded electronically, and the general counsel shall be the record holder of the original recordings. Executive sessions held 106 for the purpose of discussing evaluation of qualifications for public employment or review of 107 the performance of a public employee as described in RCW 42.30.110(1)(g) shall be exempt 108 from the recording requirements of this section. Executive sessions held to discuss other 109 110 matters authorized by RCW 42.30.110 may be made exempt from recording by a motion 111 decided prior to convening the executive session pursuant to the voting requirements of these bylaws. The extent to which the executive session or parts thereof shall be exempt from 112
- recording shall be stated in the motion, which shall be decided in public session.

AMENDMENT 6 – proposed by commission staff

(Provides a mechanism to refer policy-related work to a committee, while maintaining the commission's control over the matters referred.)

In Article 5, insert the following new Section 6:

Referral to committee. Topics that involve establishment or revision of policy directives or governance structures shall be referred to a committee of appropriate purview for recommendations on action by the commission. Other matters may be referred to appropriate committees at the discretion of the commission by public action. If there is no standing or special committee of appropriate purview constituted for the particular matter, one shall be constituted by a charter adopted pursuant to the requirements of these bylaws. Such committee referral shall be made by the president, or may be ordered by the commission by public action. Notwithstanding the timeline set in the committee's charter for consideration and recommendation to the commission, the commission may, by a vote of a majority of its membership, discharge a committee from further consideration of a particular matter. The motion to so discharge shall refer the matter to a different committee or place it on the agenda for commission consideration at an appropriate time.

(Documents for transparency an informal opinion-polling process currently in use, though infrequently needed. Adds for clarity when the process is invoked, and adds a process for ratifying public statements by a vote in public session.)

 In Article 6, insert the following new Section 3:

Informal polling of commissioner opinions.

- (a) When it is desirable in the opinion of the commission president for the opinion of the port commission to be reported publicly in support or opposition to any position affecting port interests and when circumstances prevent formal public action on a specific position or view, the president, or professional staff acting on behalf of the commission, may conduct an informal poll of commissioners' individual opinions. Opinions polled in this manner shall not involve meetings of more than two commissioners at a time and shall be characterized transparently in these or similar words when reported publicly: "Although the Port of Seattle Commission has not formally taken a position on ... by action in a public session, all commissioners (or a majority of commissioners) have individually expressed the opinion that...."
- (b) When a common view of the commission is thus represented, it shall be reported in writing to the commission at its next public meeting as a special order of business. The presiding officer shall put the question in the form of a request for objection to the reported statement. Absent an objection, the collective view expressed shall be considered ratified by unanimous consent and the statement on the matter shall be entered into the record of the public meeting.
- (c) The question on ratification of an opinion statement reported pursuant to this section shall not be subject to amendment and shall require a unanimous vote of all commissioners to pass; provided consent may be given in advance in writing in the case of any commissioner who may be absent from the meeting at which ratification is requested. Such written consent shall be included in the record of the meeting for which it is granted.
- (d) In the event of an objection to the reported statement described in this section, it shall fail to pass. In order to provide a complete and transparent record of opinion statements polled in this manner, the reported statement shall be entered upon the record of the meeting at which the question is decided even if the question of ratification fails to pass.
- (e) <u>The commission clerk shall provide a form for the giving of consent to ratification of an informally polled opinion statement.</u>

- And in Article 6, Section 13, "Questions requiring unanimous consent of all commissioners, insert the following new subsection (a):
- 172 <u>Ratification of a statement of commission opinion polled informally, as described in Article VI,</u>
 173 <u>Section 3.</u>

174	AMENDMENT 8 – provided based on commissioner comments
175	
176	(Would increase the total amount of time for public comment as an order of business from 45
177	to 60 minutes.)
178	
179	In Article 6, Section 11(b) "Rules governing public comment," delete "45" and insert in lieu
180	thereof: <u>60</u>
181	

AMENDMENT 9 – provided based on comments from Commissioner Gregoire

183

184

182

(Requires a 24-hour filing deadline for substantive amendments to actions on the agenda.)

185

186

187 188

189

190 191 In Article 6, Section 5, after "repeated by the presiding officer prior to taking a vote on the amendment as a subsidiary question" insert the following: <u>Amendments filed in writing with the commission clerk at least 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a majority vote of the membership for passage. Amendments offered less than 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a vote of two-thirds of the membership for passage.</u>

193

194

192

(The amendment in context:)

195

196 197

198 199

200201

202203

204205

206207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

Amendment of questions. Once a motion has been made or a requested action filed by virtue of its inclusion on an approved agenda, it shall be modified only by amendment. Any commissioner, including the presiding officer, may offer an amendment to a question that is subject to amendment. Amendments other than simple amendments to procedural motions shall be offered in writing and their content repeated by the presiding officer prior to taking a vote on the amendment as a subsidiary question. Amendments filed in writing with the commission clerk at least 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a majority vote of the membership for passage. Amendments offered less than 24 hours prior to the convening of the public meeting during which they are intended to be offered shall require a vote of two-thirds of the membership for passage. Amendments shall be subject to a vote for adoption. Amendments that are not controversial and have clear unanimous support may be adopted by unanimous consent, provided they are submitted in writing and are repeated when the presiding officer announces the outcome of the vote. Amendments adopted by unanimous consent shall be recorded in the minutes as adopted "without objection." Amendments are subsidiary questions and shall be considered after acceptance of a motion and second on the main question to which they are attached and shall be decided before the vote on the main question.